

\$5 Billion Punitive Damages Awarded in Alkaline Water Contamination Lawsuit: What This Means for Future Legal Cases

By **Natasha A. Corb**

November 11, 2024

A Nevada state court handed down a monumental verdict in a case involving alleged contamination of an “alkaline water” health drink, with a jury awarding \$5 billion in punitive damages. This decision follows a series of trials surrounding the tainted product, Real Water, which allegedly caused serious liver damage to multiple consumers. While the award is eye-catching—particularly due to the amount of punitive damages awarded despite the unintentional nature of alleged contamination—its long-term impact on similar cases and companies in financial distress could be significant. Here's what businesses should understand about the implications of this case:

Background: The Real Water Contamination Scandal

The trial centered around Real Water, a product marketed as a health drink with enhanced alkaline levels. In 2021, the beverage was pulled from shelves after it was purportedly linked to a series of liver illnesses. The plaintiffs, 15 individuals in total, claim they suffered from acute liver failure after consuming the drink, which had been unknowingly contaminated with hydrazine, a chemical typically used in manufacturing jet fuel.

While the company, Affinitylifestyles.com Inc., admitted the water became tainted, it denied the contamination resulted from any deliberate wrongdoing or failure to adhere to industry-standard safety measures. The company argued that hydrazine levels were undetectable, pursuant to routine testing methods, and fought to reduce damages sought by plaintiffs.

The Trial: A Stark Reminder of Accountability

During the trial, the plaintiffs' attorney described the various injuries alleged by his clients, many of whom experienced severe health complications, including a liver transplant.

In addition to the staggering punitive damages of \$5 billion, the jury also awarded \$230 million in compensatory damages. This case marks the fourth large-scale verdict against Affinitylifestyles.com, a company now in bankruptcy. Although the company's defense team argued for a smaller financial penalty, the jury's decision underscores a strong message about corporate responsibility, specifically its decision to award billions of dollars in punitive damages, despite the absence of any intentional or deliberate wrongdoings by Affinitylifestyles.com.

The Bigger Picture: What Does This Verdict Mean for Businesses?

While the \$5 billion figure is eye-popping, it's important to remember Nevada law caps punitive damages at three times the number of compensatory damages in cases where the compensatory award exceeds \$100,000. Even though the final award will likely be reduced, the sheer scale of punitive damages awarded by the jury suggests companies could face serious financial consequences if their products are found to cause harm, even unintentionally.

For businesses, this ruling serves as a stark reminder of the importance of product safety and transparency. The fact that a jury saw fit to impose such a hefty penalty, despite the company's bankruptcy status, sends a clear message that failure to adequately protect consumers can lead to catastrophic legal and financial repercussions.

\$5 Billion Punitive Damages Awarded in Alkaline Water Contamination Lawsuit: What This Means for Future Legal Cases



(Continued)

Moreover, this case highlights the broader challenge companies face when their products are alleged to cause harm. With plaintiffs actively seeking to hold companies accountable, businesses must be proactive about their legal risks and consider whether their products could be exposed to similar scrutiny. As more cases like this unfold, legal teams may face increasing pressure from plaintiff lawyers who are building on the momentum from high-profile decisions like this one.

Insurance and Liability: What Happens When a Company Goes Bankrupt?

Another crucial takeaway from this case is the role of insurance and liability in corporate bankruptcies. The plaintiffs' lawyer mentioned that Real Water's insurers initially sought a settlement of \$6.9 million, but the company's insurers opted to take the case to trial. The insurer's strategy appears to have been influenced by the company's bankruptcy filing, which complicates the payment of damages.

In situations like this, insurers may argue they should not be held accountable for damages awarded in trials involving bankrupt companies. However, this doesn't mean plaintiffs will walk away empty-handed. The massive punitive damages award could play a role in shaping settlements or possibly encourage companies to settle future cases for higher amounts. Companies in bankruptcy must still navigate these challenges, balancing their financial obligations with the need to mitigate long-term legal exposure.

Impact on Future Trials: What's Next for Real Water and Other Companies?

The implications of this case extend far beyond Real Water. With dozens of similar lawsuits currently pending, this verdict could set a powerful precedent for future cases involving alleged contaminated or dangerous products. In fact, the plaintiff lawyer from this trial is already representing an additional 62 plaintiffs who claim to have been affected by Real Water, with another trial scheduled for February 2025 in Las Vegas. As these cases progress, the \$5 billion punitive damages award could influence jury expectations and legal strategies, particularly as more evidence emerges about the scale of alleged harm caused by defective or dangerous products.

This decision also raises important questions about the role of corporate accountability and consumer safety. With increasing public awareness of product risks and the potential for mass litigation, businesses must consider not only their legal obligations but also the long-term reputational damage that can result from product recalls, consumer harm and poor handling of public health crises.

Moving Forward: Key Takeaways for Businesses and Consumers

- + **Proactive Risk Management:** Companies must take steps to ensure the safety of their products. Routine safety testing and transparency in product labeling can help mitigate risks.
- + **Legal Preparedness:** Businesses should anticipate the potential for costly litigation and ensure they have robust insurance coverage in place.
- + **Reputation Matters:** In the age of social media and heightened consumer awareness, a company's reputation is invaluable. Failure to act responsibly can have long-term consequences.
- + **Stay Informed:** This case is part of a growing trend where plaintiffs' lawyers are winning big against corporations that are deemed unable to protect their consumers. Businesses must stay up to date with the evolving landscape of products liability law.

As this case continues to unfold, it serves as a powerful reminder of the legal, financial, and reputational risks that can arise when companies are alleged to fail to ensure the safety of their products. The \$5 billion punitive damages verdict is just one chapter in a broader conversation about accountability, consumer protection and the future of products liability law.

\$5 Billion Punitive Damages Awarded in Alkaline Water Contamination Lawsuit: What This Means for Future Legal Cases

(Continued)



For businesses, the message is clear: the price of negligence is steep, and it's only getting higher.

mgmlaw.com

Boston | Chicago | Dallas | Edwardsville, IL/ Madison County | Hattiesburg, MS | Irvine, CA | Jackson, MS | Los Angeles | Miami | New Jersey | New Orleans | New York | O'Fallon, IL | Providence, RI | San Francisco | Walnut Creek, CA | Wilmington, DE

Attorney Advertising. This material is for general informational purposes only and does not represent our advice as to any particular set of facts; nor does it represent any undertaking to keep recipients advised of all legal developments. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. © 2025 Manning Gross + Massenburg LLP