

U.S. Supreme Court to Weigh In on Personal Jurisdiction as State Courts Have Gone Rogue

January 31, 2017

Ever since the United States Supreme Court's 2014 decision in [*Daimler A.G. v. Bauman*, 134 S. Ct. 746 \(2014\)](#), in which the Court held that general personal jurisdiction exists over a corporation only where the corporation is fairly regarded as "at home," many plaintiffs and state courts have attempted to distinguish [*Daimler*](#) in an effort to expand the boundaries of a court's exercise of personal jurisdiction. It should come as no surprise then that the U.S. Supreme Court, with five personal jurisdiction cases before it and its [*Daimler*](#) decision seemingly under attack, ultimately decided to grant review of two such cases in 2017: [*BNSF Railway Co. v. Tyrrell*](#), and [*Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. The Superior Court of San Francisco County*](#), which attack the [*Daimler*](#) holding from very different perspectives.

mgmlaw.com

Boston | Chicago | Dallas | Edwardsville, IL/ Madison County | Hattiesburg, MS | Irvine, CA | Jackson, MS | Los Angeles | Miami | New Jersey | New Orleans | New York | O'Fallon, IL | Providence, RI | San Francisco | Walnut Creek, CA | Wilmington, DE

Attorney Advertising. This material is for general informational purposes only and does not represent our advice as to any particular set of facts; nor does it represent any undertaking to keep recipients advised of all legal developments. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. © 2025 Manning Gross + Massenburg LLP